

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,862	11/20/2003	George R. Dodge	06510029US 5643	
7590 06/23/2006			EXAMINER	
McGuire Woods LLP			REIDEL, JESSICA L	
Suite 1800 1750 Tysons Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
McLean, VA 22102			3766	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/716,862	DODGE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jessica L. Reidel	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timing the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 10-18,20-26,28-35 and 37-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18,20-26,28-33,39 and 40 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-13,16,17,34,35,37 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 November 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgement is made of Applicant's Amendment, which was received by the Office on April 10, 2006. Claims 1-9, 19 and 27 have been cancelled. The Examiner takes the position that Claim 36 has also been cancelled, due to it depending from cancelled Claim 1. Claims 37-40 are new and active. Claims 10-18, 20-26, 28-35 and 37-40 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 10-17 has been withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Groiso (U.S. 6,966,911). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-13, 16-17, 34-35 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michelson (U.S. 6,120,502) in view of Groiso. As to Claim 10-13, 17 and 37-38, Michelson discloses a bone growth inhibiting device 10 comprising a power source 60 (see Michelson Abstract, column 7, lines 40-47, column 9, lines 9-10 and lines 30-38 and column 10, lines 22-31) for generating "positive current" where bone growth is "not desired" (see Michelson column 10, lines 50-52). Device 10 of Michelson also comprises at least one anode 96 of electrode 30, read as one electrode, in electrical communication with the power source 60 (see Michelson column 9, lines 17-18 and column 10, lines 23-31) adapted to apply the positive

current to a predetermined location of the bone (see Michelson Fig. 3A and column 10, lines 50-52). Michelson discloses the claimed invention as discussed above except that it is not specified that the positive current for reducing the growth of a bone be applied at a growth plate. Groiso, however, teaches that it is desirable to suppress and/or induce the growth of the epiphyseal growth plate of a bone when a patient suffers from length discrepancies ad angular deformities of limbs (see Grosio column 1, lines 34-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device and method of Michelson in view of Grosio to further include applications at the growth plate such that

5. As to Claim 34, it is inherent or at least obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the bone would continue to grow in a region where the current is not applied.

deformities may be corrected through positive current electrical bone growth inhibition.

- As to Claim 16, the previously modified Michelson reference discloses the claimed 6. invention as discussed above except that the current effective to inhibit/reduce bone growth is not specified to be at least 50 µA. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the power source and the at least one electrode deliver a positive current/charge of at least 50 µA, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.
- As to Claim 35, the previously modified Michelson reference discloses the claimed 7. invention as discussed above except that the current effective to inhibit/reduce bone growth is not specified to be at least 35 µA. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the power source and the at least one electrode

Application/Control Number: 10/716,862 Page 4

Art Unit: 3766

deliver a positive current/charge of at least 35 μA , since it has been held that discovering an

optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 18, 20-26, 28-33 and 39-40 are allowed.

9. Claims 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Brighton (U.S. 4,467,809) teaches ephiphyseal growth plate stimulation in the bone

of a living body by applying electrodes non-invasively to a body.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jessica L. Reidel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2129.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-5:30, every other Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,862 Page 5

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jessica L. Reidel 06/19/06

Examiner Art Unit 3766 Robert E. Pezzuto

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3766